

Table of Contents

- Executive Summary 1**
- ES.1 Introduction..... 1
 - ES.1.1 Project Description and Location 2
 - ES.1.2 Purpose and Need for Action 2
 - ES.1.3 Report Purpose and Organization..... 6
- ES.2 Summary of Findings 6
 - ES.2.1 Existing Travel Patterns 6
 - ES.2.2 Existing Conditions..... 7
 - ES.2.3 Future Conditions and Needs (No-Build Alternative) 11
 - ES.2.4 Performance of Build Alternatives..... 20
 - ES.2.5 Summary of Alternatives Performance Comparison..... 38
 - ES.2.5.1 AM Peak Period 38
 - ES.2.5.2 Peak Period..... 43
- List of Acronyms i
- Glossary of Commonly Used Terms v
- CHAPTER 1. Existing Conditions 1-1**
- 1.1 Roadway Network 1-1
 - 1.1.1 I-66 Corridor 1-1
 - 1.1.2 Interchanges and Intersecting Roadways 1-3
 - 1.1.3 HOV and Commuter Facilities..... 1-9
- 1.2 Traffic Volumes and Operations..... 1-10
 - 1.2.1 Data Collection 1-11
 - 1.2.2 Historical Traffic Trends 1-11
 - 1.2.3 Daily Traffic Volumes 1-12
 - 1.2.4 Peak Period Traffic Volumes and Peaking Patterns 1-16
 - 1.2.5 Baseline VISSIM Model 1-27
 - 1.2.6 Traffic Operations Results and Findings..... 1-28
 - 1.2.7 Summary of Roadway Deficiencies 1-52
- CHAPTER 2. Transit/Transportation Demand Management 2-1**
- 2.1 Existing Conditions..... 2-1
- 2.2 Summary of Public Surveys..... 2-3
- 2.3 Plan Development Process..... 2-3

2.4	Transit Service Types	2-4
2.5	Draft Preferred Transit/TDM Plan	2-5
2.5.1	Transit Service	2-5
2.5.2	Park-and-Ride Facilities	2-8
2.5.3	Transportation Demand Management	2-8
2.6	Summary and Next Steps	2-9
CHAPTER 3. Local and Regional Transportation Plans		3-1
3.1	Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan	3-1
3.2	Fairfax County Transportation Plan	3-1
3.3	Prince William Comprehensive Plan	3-1
3.4	Prince William Transportation Plan	3-1
3.5	Long-Range Transportation Plan for National Capital Region	3-2
3.6	Transportation Vision Plan	3-2
3.7	TransAction 2040	3-2
CHAPTER 4. Development of Alternatives		4-1
4.1	Introduction	4-1
4.2	Alternatives Developed During the Tier 1 EIS	4-1
4.2.1	Recommended Improvement Strategies	4-1
4.2.2	Tier 1 Alternatives Carried Forward to Tier 2 EA	4-4
4.3	Mainline and Access Point Alternatives Developed During the Tier 2 EA	4-4
4.3.1	Range of Improvement Concepts Considered	4-4
4.3.2	Planning and Operational Assumptions	4-5
4.3.3	Mainline Roadway Configurations	4-5
4.3.4	Direct Express Lane Access Points and Crossovers	4-8
4.4	Interchange Improvement Concepts Considered During the Tier 2 EA	4-16
4.4.1	Existing Interchange Locations	4-16
4.4.2	Proposed Interchange Locations	4-17
4.4.3	Interchange Concepts Considered	4-17
4.5	Alternatives Carried Forward for Further Analysis	4-17
CHAPTER 5. Safety and Crash Analysis		5-1
5.1	Introduction and Background	5-1
5.2	Safety Analysis Methods and Tools	5-1
5.2.1	Qualitative Safety Analysis Methods and Tools	5-1
5.2.2	Quantitative Safety Analysis Methods and Tools	5-2
5.3	Safety Analysis Areas and Zones	5-3

5.4	Safety Data Collection.....	5-6
5.4.1	Crash Data	5-6
5.4.2	Roadway Inventory Data.....	5-7
5.5	Crash History and Safety Analysis.....	5-7
5.5.1	Qualitative Corridor Safety Analysis.....	5-7
5.5.2	Quantitative Corridor Safety Analysis	5-23
5.6	Future Alternative Conditions Safety Evaluation.....	5-30
5.6.1	Evaluation Approach and Process.....	5-30
5.6.2	ISATe Analysis Evaluations	5-30
5.7	Future Safety Considerations – No-Build and Build.....	5-39
5.7.1	Signing and Pavement Markings	5-39
5.7.2	Design for Merging and Diverging Areas	5-39
5.7.3	Interchange Geometry and Configuration.....	5-39
5.7.4	Recurring Congestion	5-40
5.7.5	Mainline Shoulders.....	5-40
5.7.6	Guardrail and Barriers.....	5-41
5.8	Safety Analysis Conclusions	5-41
CHAPTER 6. Assessment of Future Conditions.....		6-1
6.1	Background Transportation Projects.....	6-1
6.1.1	US 15/I-66 Interchange Reconstruction.....	6-2
6.1.2	US 29/Linton Hall Road Interchange Improvements.....	6-3
6.1.3	I-66 Widening between Gainesville and Haymarket	6-4
6.1.4	Route 234 Bypass Extension (Bi-County Parkway).....	6-5
6.1.5	Route 234 Bypass/Relocated Balls Ford Road Interchange	6-6
6.1.6	Manassas Battlefield Bypass	6-6
6.1.7	Route 28 Corridor Improvements.....	6-8
6.1.8	Fairfax County Parkway Improvements	6-10
6.1.9	Vienna Metrorail Accessibility and Capacity Improvements	6-10
6.1.10	Dulles Corridor Metrorail Silver Line	6-11
6.2	Land Use and Travel Patterns	6-12
6.2.1	Land Use and Socioeconomic Characteristics	6-12
6.2.2	Travel Patterns.....	6-21
6.3	2040 No-Build Scenario	6-27
6.3.1	Traffic Volumes	6-27
6.3.2	2040 No-Build AM Freeway Operations	6-39

6.3.3	2040 No-Build PM Freeway Operations	6-61
6.3.4	No-Build AM Arterial Operations	6-84
6.3.5	No-Build PM Arterial Operations	6-87
6.3.6	No-Build AM/PM Summary of Network Operational Deficiencies and Major Points of Congestion	6-92
6.4	2040 Build 2A Scenario.....	6-94
6.4.1	Traffic Volumes	6-94
6.4.2	Build 2A AM Freeway Operations	6-105
6.4.3	Build 2A PM Freeway Operations	6-135
6.4.4	Build 2A AM Arterial Operations	6-164
6.4.5	Build 2A PM Arterial Operations	6-168
6.4.6	Build 2A AM/PM Summary of Network Operational Deficiencies and Major Points of Congestion	6-174
6.5	2040 Build 2B Scenario.....	6-175
6.5.1	Traffic Volumes	6-175
6.5.2	Build 2B AM Freeway Operations	6-188
6.5.3	Build 2B PM Freeway Operations	6-216
6.5.4	Build 2B AM Arterial Operations	6-241
6.5.5	Build 2B PM Arterial Operations	6-245
6.5.6	Build 2B AM/PM Summary of Network Operational Deficiencies and Major Points of Congestion	6-252
6.6	Arterial Intersection Minor Improvements and other Potential Mitigation Measures	6-254
6.6.1	Future Proposed Arterial Mitigation Measures and Network Optimization	6-254
6.6.2	Build Condition Arterial Network Modifications	6-260
6.7	Comparison of Performance	6-264
6.7.1	AM Peak Period	6-264
6.7.2	PM Peak Period	6-270
References	7-1
Appendices		
Appendix A: Traffic Volumes		
Appendix B: Turning Movements		
Appendix C: Network Facilities Improvements		
Appendix D: Travel Demand Assumptions Memo		
Appendix E: Travel Demand Model Validation Memo		
Appendix F: Safety Qualitative Results		
Appendix G: Safety Quantitative Results		

Appendix H: VISSIM Calibration Assumptions Memo**Appendix I: Arterial Traffic Operations Results****Appendix J: Freeway Traffic Operations Results****Figures**

Figure ES.1: I-66 Tier 2 Corridor Traffic Study Area – Prince William County (West)	ES-3
Figure ES.2: I-66 Tier 2 Corridor Traffic Study Area – Fairfax County (East)	ES-4
Figure ES.3: I-66 Definition of Peak Period and Representative Hour – AM Eastbound Direction	ES-8
Figure ES.4: I-66 Definition of Peak Period and Representative Hour – PM Westbound Direction	ES-9
Figure ES.5: Average AM Peak Period Hourly Volumes on I-66 Eastbound, 2040 No-Build vs. Existing	ES-11
Figure ES.6: Average PM Peak Period Hourly Volumes on I-66 Westbound, 2040 No-Build vs. Existing	ES-12
Figure ES.7: I-66 Eastbound AM Peak Period Speed Comparison, No-Build vs. Existing	ES-14
Figure ES.8: I-66 Eastbound AM Peak Period Travel Time Comparison, No-Build vs. Existing	ES-15
Figure ES.9: I-66 Westbound PM Peak Period Speed Comparison, No-Build vs. Existing	ES-17
Figure ES.10: I-66 Westbound PM Peak Period Travel Time Comparison, No-Build vs. Existing	ES-18
Figure ES.11: Average AM Peak Period Hourly Volumes on I-66 Eastbound, 2040 Build 2A vs. No-Build	ES-19
Figure ES.12: Average PM Peak Period Hourly Volumes on I-66 Westbound, 2040 Build 2A vs. No-Build	ES-19
Figure ES.13: I-66 Eastbound AM Peak Period Speed Comparison, Build 2A vs. No-Build	ES-22
Figure ES.14: I-66 Eastbound AM Peak Period Travel Time Comparison, Build 2A vs. No-Build	ES-23
Figure ES.15: I-66 Westbound PM Peak Period Speed Comparison, Build 2A vs. No-Build	ES-26
Figure ES.16: I-66 Westbound PM Peak Period Travel Time Comparison, Build 2A vs. No-Build	ES-27
Figure ES.17: Average AM Peak Period Hourly Volumes on I-66 Eastbound, 2040 Build 2B vs. No-Build	ES-28
Figure ES.18: Average PM Peak Period Hourly Volumes on I-66 Westbound, 2040 Build 2B vs. No-Build	ES-28
Figure ES.19: I-66 Eastbound AM Peak Period Speed Comparison, Build 2B vs. No-Build	ES-31
Figure ES.20: I-66 Eastbound AM Peak Period Travel Time Comparison, Build 2B vs. No-Build	ES-32
Figure ES.21: I-66 Westbound PM Peak Period Speed Comparison, Build 2B vs. No-Build	ES-35
Figure ES.22: I-66 Westbound PM Peak Period Travel Time Comparison, Build 2B vs. No-Build	ES-36
Figure ES.23: 2040 Build 2A vs 2B AM Eastbound Travel Time Segment Comparison	ES-39
Figure ES.24: AM Speed Comparison between Build 2A and Build 2B	ES-40

Figure 1.1: Existing Conditions Roadway Network (Interchanges)	1-4
Figure 1.2: Existing Conditions Roadway Network (Intersecting Roadways)	1-5
Figure 1.3: I-66 Corridor – 2014 Average Daily Mainline and Ramp Volumes	1-13
Figure 1.4: I-66 Definition of Peak Period and Representative Hour – AM Eastbound Direction	1-17
Figure 1.5: I-66 Definition of Peak Period and Representative Hour – PM Westbound Direction	1-18
Figure 1.6: I-66 Eastbound Corridor Operations - AM Peak Period	1-19
Figure 1.7: I-66 Westbound Corridor Operations - PM Peak Period	1-19
Figure 1.8: 2014 AM System Representative Hour Ramp and Mainline Volumes	1-21
Figure 1.9: 2014 PM System Representative Hour Ramp and Mainline Volumes	1-24
Figure 1.10: I-66 Corridor – AM Peak Period Densities (veh/ml/ln)	1-30
Figure 1.11: I-66 Corridor – AM Peak Period Average Speeds (mph)	1-34
Figure 1.12: AM I-66 Eastbound Freeway Demand vs. Throughput and Percentage of Demand Served	1-38
Figure 1.13: I-66 Corridor – PM Peak Period Densities (veh/ml/ln)	1-40
Figure 1.14: I-66 Corridor – PM Peak Period Average Speeds (mph)	1-44
Figure 1.15: PM I-66 Westbound Freeway Demand vs. Throughput and Percentage of Demand Served	1-48
Figure 1.16: Summary of Arterial Operation for AM and PM Existing Conditions	1-51
Figure 2.1: Peak Period Bus Trip Volumes on the I-66 Corridor	2-2
Figure 2.2 Peak Period Bus Ridership on the I-66 Corridor	2-2
Figure 2.3: Draft Preferred I-66 Transit Service (2040)	2-7
Figure 2.4: I-66 Corridor Park-and-Ride Facilities	2-8
Figure 2.5: Summary of Draft Preferred Transit/TDM Plan Phasing	2-10
Figure 4.1: Tier 1 EIS Concept Development and Evaluation Process	4-2
Figure 4.2: Typical Sections of Mainline Alternatives	4-7
Figure 4.3: Sensitivity Analysis at Access Points	4-11
Figure 4.4: Express Lane Access Points Alternative 2A	4-13
Figure 4.5: Express Lane Access Points Alternative 2B	4-14
Figure 4.6: Preliminary Express Lanes Access Alternatives	4-18
Figure 5.1: I-66 Safety Zones I-66 Mile Markers 53.5 to 67.0	5-4
Figure 5.2: Interstate Mainline Crash Hot Spot Location	5-9
Figure 5.3: Example Crash Density Map – Interstate Mainline	5-18
Figure 5.4: Example Crash Density Map – Arterial	5-19
Figure 5.5: Crash Rates by Safety Analysis Zones	5-20

Figure 5.6: Summary I-66 and I-495 Safety Zone ISATe Analysis – PSI – Existing (2011)	5-26
Figure 5.7: Summary I-66 and I-495 Safety Zone ISATe Analysis – Predicted Crashes – Existing (2011)	5-27
Figure 5.8: Example ISATe Analysis – PSI – Existing (2011)	5-28
Figure 5.9: Example ISATe Analysis – Predicted Crashes – Existing (2011)	5-29
Figure 5.10: Summary I-66 and I-495 Safety Zone ISATe Analysis – Predicted Crashes – Existing (2040)	5-32
Figure 5.11: Example ISATe Analysis – Predicted Crashes – Existing (2011)	5-33
Figure 5.12: Comparison 2040 Predicted KABC Crashes at US 15 Safety Zone	5-35
Figure 5.13: Comparison 2040 Predicted PDO Crashes at US 15 Safety Zone	5-37
Figure 6.1. Diverging-Diamond Interchange on US 15 at I-66	6-3
Figure 6.2. US 29/Linton Hall Road Area Improvements	6-4
Figure 6.3. I-66 Widening Between Gainesville and Haymarket	6-5
Figure 6.4. Relocated Balls Ford Road Interchange with Route 234 Bypass	6-6
Figure 6.5. Manassas Battlefield Bypass	6-7
Figure 6.6. Route 28 Corridor Improvements	6-9
Figure 6.7. I-66 HOV Direct Bus Access to Vienna/GMU Metrorail Station	6-10
Figure 6.8. Dulles Corridor Metrorail – Silver Line Phase 1 and Phase 2	6-11
Figure 6.9: Forecast Population by Jurisdiction	6-12
Figure 6.10: Forecast Employment by Jurisdiction	6-13
Figure 6.11: Forecast Population for Fairfax County	6-14
Figure 6.12: Forecast Population for Prince William County	6-14
Figure 6.13: Forecast Population for Independent Cities and Towns in Fairfax County	6-15
Figure 6.14: Forecast Population for Independent Cities and Towns in Prince William County	6-15
Figure 6.15: Forecast Employment for Fairfax County	6-16
Figure 6.16: Forecast Employment for Prince William County	6-16
Figure 6.17: Forecast Employment for Independent Cities and Towns in Fairfax County	6-17
Figure 6.18: Forecast Employment for Independent Cities and Towns in Prince William County	6-17
Figure 6.19: Map of I-66 Summary Areas	6-18
Figure 6.20: Total Population Forecast by Summary Area	6-19
Figure 6.21: Total Employment Forecast by Summary Area	6-19
Figure 6.22: Zonal Population Growth from 2015-2040	6-20
Figure 6.23: Zonal Employment Growth from 2015-2040	6-21
Figure 6.24: I-66 Historical Average Annual Weekday Traffic	6-22

Figure 6.25: Origins of Eastbound Traffic on I-66 in the Eastern End of the Corridor	6-23
Figure 6.26: Destinations of Westbound Traffic on I-66 in the Eastern End of the Corridor	6-23
Figure 6.27: Origins of Eastbound Traffic on I-66 in the Middle of the Corridor	6-24
Figure 6.28: Destinations of Westbound Traffic on I-66 in the Middle of the Corridor	6-25
Figure 6.29: Destinations of Eastbound Traffic on I-66 in the Western End of the Corridor	6-25
Figure 6.30: Origins of Eastbound Traffic on I-66 in the Western End of the Corridor	6-26
Figure 6.31: I-66 2025 and 2040 Average Weekday Daily Traffic	6-27
Figure 6.32: 2040 No-Build and Existing AM Peak Period Average Hourly Volume - I-66 Eastbound	6-28
Figure 6.33: 2040 No-Build and Existing AM Peak Period Average Hourly Volume - I-66 Westbound	6-29
Figure 6.34: 2040 No-Build and Existing PM Peak Period Average Hourly Volume - I-66 Eastbound	6-30
Figure 6.35: 2040 No-Build and Existing PM Peak Period Average Hourly Volume - I-66 Westbound	6-30
Figure 6.36: 2040 No-Build AM (PM) Representative System Hour Traffic Volumes	6-32
Figure 6.37: 2040 No-Build and Existing AM Travel Time Comparison - I-66 Eastbound	6-40
Figure 6.38 2040 No-Build and Existing AM Segment Travel Time Comparison – I-66 Eastbound	6-41
Figure 6.39 2040 No-Build and Existing AM Travel Time Comparison – I-66 Westbound	6-42
Figure 6.40: 2040 No-Build Route 28 AM Travel Time – Northbound	6-43
Figure 6.41: 2040 No-Build Route 28 AM Travel Time – Southbound	6-44
Figure 6.42: 2040 No-Build AM Freeway Density	6-45
Figure 6.43: 2040 No-Build AM Freeway Average Speed	6-49
Figure 6.44: 2040 No-Build AM Freeway Segment Summary – I-66 Eastbound	6-54
Figure 6.45: 2040 No-Build and Existing AM Peak Period Speed Comparison – I-66 Eastbound	6-56
Figure 6.46: 2040 No-Build AM Peak Period Speed – Route 28	6-57
Figure 6.47: 2040 No-Build AM Representative Hour Unserved Demand – I-66 Eastbound	6-58
Figure 6.48: 2040 No-Build AM Representative Hour Unserved Demand – I-66 Westbound	6-59
Figure 6.49: 2040 No-Build AM Representative Hour Unserved Demand – Route 28 Northbound	6-60
Figure 6.50: 2040 No-Build AM Representative Hour Unserved Demand – Route 28 Southbound	6-60
Figure 6.51: 2040 No-Build PM Peak Period Travel Time Comparison – I-66 Westbound	6-62
Figure 6.52: 2040 No-Build PM Peak Period Segment Travel Time Comparison – I-66 Westbound	6-63
Figure 6.53: 2040 No-Build PM Peak Period Travel Time Comparison – I-66 Eastbound	6-64
Figure 6.54: 2040 No-Build PM Peak Period Travel Time – Route 28 Northbound	6-65
Figure 6.55: 2040 No-Build PM Peak Period Travel Time – Route 28 Southbound	6-65

Figure 6.56: 2040 No-Build PM Freeway Density	6-67
Figure 6.57: 2040 No-Build PM Freeway Average Speed	6-71
Figure 6.58: 2040 No-Build PM Peak Period Speed – I-66 Westbound	6-76
Figure 6.59: 2040 No-Build PM Peak Period Speed – Route 28	6-78
Figure 6.60: 2040 No-Build PM Westbound Freeway Segments Density Summary	6-80
Figure 6.61: 2040 No-Build PM Representative Hour Unserved Demand – I-66 Westbound	6-81
Figure 6.62: 2040 No-Build PM Representative Hour Unserved Demand – I-66 Eastbound	6-82
Figure 6.63: 2040 No-Build PM Representative Hour Unserved Demand – Route 28 Northbound	6-83
Figure 6.64: 2040 No-Build PM Representative Hour Unserved Demand – Route 28 Southbound	6-83
Figure 6.65: 2040 No-Build AM Peak Hour Intersection LOS Summary	6-86
Figure 6.66: No-Build PM Peak Hour Intersection LOS Summary	6-89
Figure 6.67: 2040 Build 2A and No-Build AM Peak Average Hourly Volume - I-66 Eastbound	6-94
Figure 6.68: 2040 Build 2A and No-Build AM Peak Average Hourly Volume - I-66 Westbound	6-95
Figure 6.69: 2040 Build 2A and No-Build PM Peak - Average Hourly Volume - I-66 Westbound	6-96
Figure 6.70: 2040 Build 2A and No-Build PM Peak - Average Hourly Volume - I-66 Eastbound	6-96
Figure 6.71: 2040 Build 2A Representative System Hour AM (PM) Volumes	6-98
Figure 6.72: 2040 Build 2A AM Cumulative and Incremental Travel Times – I-66 Eastbound	6-109
Figure 6.73: 2040 Build 2A AM Segment Travel Times – I-66 Eastbound	6-110
Figure 6.74: 2040 Build 2A AM Cumulative and Incremental Travel Times – I-66 Westbound	6-111
Figure 6.75: 2040 Build 2A AM Segment Travel Times – I-66 Westbound	6-112
Figure 6.76: 2040 Build 2A AM Cumulative and Incremental Travel Times – Route 28 Northbound	6-113
Figure 6.77: 2040 Build 2A AM Cumulative and Incremental Travel Times – Route 28 Southbound	6-114
Figure 6.78: 2040 Build 2A AM I-66 General Purpose and Express Lanes Average Density	6-116
Figure 6.79: 2040 Build 2A AM General Purpose and Express Lanes Density Summary – I-66 Eastbound	6-120
Figure 6.80: 2040 Build 2A AM I-66 General Purpose and Express Lanes Average Speed	6-122
Figure 6.81: 2040 Build 2A AM Peak General Purpose Lanes Speed Comparison – I-66 Eastbound	6-126
Figure 6.82: 2040 Build 2A AM Peak Speed Comparison – Route 28	6-127
Figure 6.83: 2040 Build 2A AM Representative Hour General Purpose Lanes Unserved Demand - I-66 Eastbound	6-129
Figure 6.84: 2040 Build 2A AM Representative Hour Express Lanes Unserved Demand – I-66 Eastbound	6-130

Figure 6.85: 2040 Build 2A AM Representative Hour General Purpose Lanes Unserved Demand - I-66 Westbound	6-131
Figure 6.86: 2040 Build 2A AM Representative Hour Express Lanes Unserved Demand - I-66 Westbound	6-132
Figure 6.87: 2040 Build 2A AM Representative Hour Unserved Demand – Route 28 Northbound	6-133
Figure 6.88: 2040 Build 2A AM Representative Hour Unserved Demand – Route 28 Southbound	6-134
Figure 6.89: 2040 Build 2A PM Cumulative and Incremental Travel Times – I-66 Westbound	6-137
Figure 6.90: 2040 Build 2A PM Segment Travel Times - I-66 Westbound	6-138
Figure 6.91: 2040 Build 2A PM Incremental and Cumulative Travel Times - I-66 Eastbound	6-140
Figure 6.92: 2040 Build 2A PM Incremental and Cumulative Travel Times – Route 28 Southbound	6-141
Figure 6.93: 2040 Build 2A PM Incremental and Cumulative Travel Times - Route 28 Northbound	6-142
Figure 6.94: 2040 Build 2A PM I-66 General Purpose and Express Lanes Average Density	6-144
Figure 6.95: 2040 Build 2A PM I-66 General Purpose and Express Lanes Average Speed	6-149
Figure 6.96: 2040 Build 2A PM Peak Hour Speed Comparison – I-66 Eastbound	6-153
Figure 6.97: 2040 Build 2A PM Peak Hour Speed Comparison - Route 28 Northbound and Southbound	6-154
Figure 6.98: 2040 Build 2A PM I-66 Basic Freeway Sections Density Distribution	6-155
Figure 6.99: 2040 Build 2A PM I-66 Weave Sections Density Distribution	6-155
Figure 6.100: 2040 Build 2A PM I-66 Ramp Junction Sections Density Distribution	6-156
Figure 6.101: 2040 Build 2A PM Representative Hour Unserved Demand – I-66 Westbound (General Purpose)	6-158
Figure 6.102: 2040 Build 2A PM Representative Hour Unserved Demand – I-66 Westbound (Express Lanes)	6-159
Figure 6.103: 2040 Build 2A PM Representative Hour Unserved Demand– I-66 Eastbound (General Purpose)	6-160
Figure 6.104: 2040 Build 2A PM Representative Hour Unserved Demand – I-66 Eastbound (Express Lanes)	6-161
Figure 6.105: 2040 Build 2A PM Representative Hour Unserved Demand – Route 28 Southbound	6-162
Figure 6.106: 2040 Build 2A PM Representative Hour Unserved Demand – Route 28 Northbound	6-163
Figure 6.107: 2040 Build 2A and No-Build AM Intersection and Approach LOS Summary	6-166
Figure 6.108: 2040 Build 2A and No-Build PM Peak Hour Intersection and Approach LOS Summary	6-170
Figure 6.109: 2040 Build 2B and No-Build AM Peak Period Average Hourly Volume - I-66 Eastbound	6-176

Figure 6.110: 2040 Build 2B and No-Build AM Peak Period Average Hourly Volume - I-66 Westbound	6-177
Figure 6.111: 2040 Build 2B and No-Build PM Peak Period Average Hourly Volume - I-66 Westbound	6-178
Figure 6.112: 2040 Build 2B and No-Build PM Peak Period Average Hourly Volume - I-66 Eastbound	6-178
Figure 6.113: 2040 Build 2B AM (PM) Representative System Hour Traffic Volumes	6-180
Figure 6.115: 2040 Build 2B and No-Build AM Incremental and Cumulative Travel Times – I-66 Eastbound	6-190
Figure 6.116: 2040 Build 2B and No-Build AM Segment Travel Time Results – I-66 Eastbound	6-191
Figure 6.117: 2040 Build 2B and No-Build AM Segment Travel Time Results – I-66 Westbound	6-194
Figure 6.118: 2040 Build 2B and No-Build AM Travel Time Results – Route 28 Northbound	6-195
Figure 6.119: 2040 Build 2B and No-Build AM Travel Time Results – Route 28 Southbound	6-195
Figure 6.120: 2040 Build 2B AM General Purpose and Express Lanes Average Density	6-197
Figure 6.121: 2040 Build 2B AM General Purpose and Express Lanes Average Speed	6-201
Figure 6.122: 2040 Build 2B Freeway Segments - General Purpose and Express Lanes – I-66 Eastbound	6-205
Figure 6.123: 2040 Build 2A AM Peak Period Speed Comparison – I-66 Eastbound	6-207
Figure 6.124: 2040 Build 2B and No-Build AM Peak Period Speed Comparison – Route 28	6-208
Figure 6.125: 2040 Build 2B AM General Purpose Lanes Representative Hour Unserved Demand – I-66 Eastbound	6-210
Figure 6.126: 2040 Build 2B AM Express Lanes Representative Hour Unserved Demand - I-66 Eastbound	6-211
Figure 6.127: 2040 Build 2B AM General Purpose Lanes Representative Hour Unserved Demand– I-66 Westbound	6-212
Figure 6.128: 2040 Build 2B AM Express Lanes Representative Hour Unserved Demand– I-66 Westbound	6-213
Figure 6.129: 2040 Build 2B AM Representative Hour Unserved Demand – Route 28 Northbound	6-214
Figure 6.130: 2040 Build 2B AM Representative Hour Unserved Demand – Route 28 Southbound	6-215
Figure 6.131: 2040 Build 2B PM Cumulative and Incremental Travel Time Results – I-66 Westbound	6-218
Figure 6.132: 2040 Build 2B PM Segment Travel Times – I-66 Westbound	6-219
Figure 6.133: 2040 Build 2B PM Cumulative and Incremental Travel Times – I-66 Eastbound	6-221
Figure 6.134: 2040 Build 2B PM Cumulative and Incremental Travel Times – Route 28 Southbound	6-222
Figure 6.135: 2040 Build 2B PM Cumulative and Incremental Travel Times – Route 28 Northbound	6-222

Figure 6.136: 2040 Build 2B PM General Purpose and Express Lane Average Density	6-225
Figure 6.137: 2040 Build 2B PM General Purpose and Express Lanes Average Speed	6-229
Figure 6.138: 2040 Build 2B PM Peak Period Speed Comparison – I-66 Westbound	6-233
Figure 6.139: 2040 Build 2B PM Peak Period Speed Comparison – Route 28	6-234
Figure 6.140 2040 Build 2B PM Summary of Density for Basic Freeway Segments	6-235
Figure 6.141: 2040 Build 2B PM Summary of Density for Freeway Weave Segments	6-235
Figure 6.142: 2040 Build 2B PM Summary of Density for Freeway Ramp Junction Segments	6-236
Figure 6.143: 2040 Build 2B PM General Purpose Representative Hour Unserved Demand– I-66 Westbound	6-237
Figure 6.144: 2040 Build 2B PM Express Lanes Representative Hour Unserved Demand – I-66 Westbound	6-238
Figure 6.145: 2040 Build 2B PM General Purpose Representative Hour Unserved Demand – I-66 Eastbound	6-239
Figure 6.146: 2040 Build 2B PM Express Lanes Representative Hour Unserved Demand – I-66 Eastbound	6-240
Figure 6.147: 2040 Build 2B and No-Build AM Intersection and Approach LOS Summary	6-242
Figure 6.148: 2040 Build 2B and No-Build PM Breakdown of Peak Hour Intersection and Approach LOS	6-247
Figure 6.149: US 29 at Heathcote Boulevard Improvements	6-255
Figure 6.150: University Boulevard at Wellington Road Improvements	6-256
Figure 6.151: Balls Ford Road at Route 234 Business Improvements	6-257
Figure 6.152: Jermantown Road at US 50 Improvements	6-258
Figure 6.153: Route 123 at US 29/US 50 Improvements	6-259
Figure 6.154: Route 243 (Nutley Street) at US 29 Improvements	6-260
Figure 6.155: 2040 Build 2A vs 2B AM Eastbound Travel Time Segment Comparison	6-266
Figure 6.156: AM Speed Comparison between Build 2A and Build 2B	6-267

Tables

Table ES.1: Summary of Travel Times Runs on I-66 Eastbound	ES-6
Table ES.2: Summary of Travel Times Runs on I-66 Westbound	ES-6
Table ES.3: Overall Performance Comparison for 2040 AM Build 2A and Build 2B	ES-41
Table ES.4: Overall Performance Comparison for 2040 PM Build 2A and Build 2B	ES-45
Table 1.1: Level of Service Criteria for Intersections (HCM 2010)	1-49
Table 1.2: Overall Intersection Delay and Level of Service	1-50
Table 1.3: Hours of Congestion in the I-66 Corridor	1-52

Table 1.4: HOV vs. GP Average Speed Comparison	1-53
Table 2.1: Key Attributes of Commuter Bus vs. Rapid Bus Service	2-4
Table 2.2: Draft Preferred I-66 Transit Service Phasing	2-6
Table 2.3: I-66 Project TDM Strategies	2-9
Table 4.1: I-66 Access Point Locations in Prince William and Fairfax Counties	4-15
Table 4.2: I-66 Interchanges in Prince William and Fairfax Counties	4-16
Table 5.1: Interstate Mainline Crash Hot Spot Location	5-8
Table 5.2: Interstate Mainline Crash Hot Spot Locations by Crash Type	5-17
Table 5.3: Crash Rate Summary	5-22
Table 5.4: Safety Analysis Zone Crash Rate Summary	5-22
Table 5.5: 2011 Potential for Safety Improvement (PSI) by Safety Analysis Zone	5-24
Table 5.6: ISATe Predicted Crash Frequency Summary	5-25
Table 5.7: ISATe Predicted Crash Frequency Summary	5-31
Table 5.8: ISATe Predicted Crash Comparison for 2040 I-66 at US 15 Safety Zone	5-34
Table 5.9: ISATe Predicted Crash Comparison for 2040 I-66 at US 50 Safety Zone	5-34
Table 6.1: Regionally Significant and Corridor-Specific Background Transportation Projects	6-1
Table 6.2: 2040 No-Build Balanced Volume Adjustments	6-38
Table 6.3: 2040 No-Build AM Ramp Locations where Queues Exceed Available Storage	6-61
Table 6.4: No-Build PM Ramps Where Average Queues Exceed Available Storage	6-84
Table 6.5: 2040 No-Build AM Intersections with LOS E or LOS F	6-85
Table 6.6: 2040 No-Build AM Intersections Where Average Queue Exceeds Storage	6-86
Table 6.7: 2040 No-Build PM Intersections with LOS E or LOS F	6-88
Table 6.8: No-Build PM Intersection Approach Average Queues that Exceed Available Storage	6-90
Table 6.9: 2040 Build 2A AM Simulation Volume Adjustments	6-104
Table 6.10: 2040 Build 2A PM Simulation Volume Adjustments	6-104
Table 6.11: 2040 Build 2A and No-Build AM Peak Period Travel Times Summary	6-106
Table 6.12: Build 2A PM Ramps Where Average Queues Exceed Available Storage	6-164
Table 6.13: 2040 Build 2A AM Intersection LOS Summary Operating at LOS E or LOS F	6-164
Table 6.14: 2040 Build 2A AM Intersection Approach Average Queues that Exceed Available Storage	6-167
Table 6.15: 2040 Build 2A PM Intersections with LOS of E or LOS F	6-168
Table 6.16: Build 2A PM Intersection Approaches Where Average Queues Exceed Available Storage	6-171
Table 6.17: Build 2B AM Simulation Volume Adjustments	6-186

Table 6.18: Build 2B PM Simulation Volume Adjustments	6-186
Table 6.19: 2040 Build 2B, No-Build, and Existing AM Peak Period Travel Times Summary	6-188
Table 6.20: Build 2B PM Ramps Where Average Queues Exceed Available Storage	6-241
Table 6.21: 2040 Build 2B AM Intersections with LOS E or LOS F	6-243
Table 6.22: 2040 Build 2B AM Intersection Approaches when Queues Exceed Available Storage	6-244
Table 6.23: 2040 Build 2B PM Intersections with LOS E or LOS F	6-245
Table 6.24: Build 2B PM Intersection Approaches Where Average Queues Exceed Available Storage	6-248
Table 6.25: Overall Performance Comparison for 2040 AM Build 2A and Build 2B	6-269
Table 6.26: Overall Performance Comparison for 2040 PM Build 2A and Build 2B	6-273

